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I. Introduction

The Bi-directional Reflectance Distribution Function (BRDF) describes how the terrestrial surfaces reflects the sun radiation. Its potential has been demonstrated for several applications in land surface studies. These includes the correction of bi-directional effects in time series of vegetation indices and reflectances (Leroy and Roujean, 1994; Wu et al., 1995), the direct use of angular measurements for estimation of leaf area index and other biophysical parameters by inversion of radiative transfer models (Knyazikhin et al., 1998; Bicheron and Leroy, 1999), albedo retrieval (Wanner et al., 1997; Cabot and Dedieu, 1997; Capderou, 1998), land cover classifications (Abuelgassim et al., 1996; Bicheron et al., 1997; Hyman and Barnsley, 1997), and radiance to flux conversion factors for Earth radiation budget studies (Manalo-Smith et al., 1998). Then, many users need spatial and temporal variations of the BRDF, for different types of biomes and at different seasons.

The BRDF has been measured in the field (e.g. Kimes, 1983; Deering et al., 1992) or from airborne instruments (Irons et al., 1991; Leroy and Bréon, 1996), with most often an adequate sampling of directional space but with a poor spatial coverage. Directional effects on land surfaces have been seen from space with AVHRR (e.g. Gutman, 1987) or with ATSR (Godsalve, 1995). Then, the spatial coverage is potentially adequate, but the sampling of the BRDF is limited in the angular plane of acquisition. The space-borne POLDER instrument has provided the first opportunity to sample the BRDF of every point on Earth for viewing angles up to 60°-70°, and for the full azimuth range, at a spatial resolution of about 6km, when the atmospheric conditions are favorable (Hautecoeur et Leroy, 1998). POLDER1 has delivered 8 months of global data from November 1996 to June 1997 onboard the Japanese ADEOS platform.

A preliminary database of 395 BRDFs has been elaborated from surface reflectances acquired during 2 periods of 2 months (November and December 1996, May and June 1997) that allow observing the temporal changes of the BRDF, due to the seasonal behavior of vegetation and to the variations of the sun illumination (Bicheron and Leroy, 2000). A first BRDF database has been compiled on the basis of the 17 land cover classes of the IGBP 1-km DISCover land cover classification (Loveland and Belward, 1997). However, the analysis has shown some inaccuracies in the class partition and inconsistencies with the BRDF signal. Then, the present database has been implemented on the basis of the 22 land cover classes of the GLC2000 land cover classification (GLC, 2003). This objective is to gather the BRDFs acquired by POLDER1/ADEOS during 8 months, from November, 1996 to June 1997, on a maximum number of sites describing the natural variability of continental ecosystems, at several seasons whenever possible, to serve for the development and prototyping of science applications of the BRDF measure. The 24857 BRDFs have been collected at 443, 565, 670, 765 and 865nm. This document
presents the methodology applied for the construction of the BRDF data set, analyzes the database, and describes the files content.

II. Instrument and data processing

II.1 The POLDER data

The POLDER instrument is a radiometer designed to measure the directionality and polarization of the sunlight scattered by the ground atmosphere system. The instrument is made of a bi-dimensional CCD matrix, a rotating wheel that carries filters and polarizers, and a wide field of view lens (114°). The field of view seen by the CCD matrix is ± 43° along track and ± 51° across track. The viewzenith angles seen at surface level are larger due to Earth curvature, ± 50 ° along track and ± 61 ° across track (± 70° in the matrix diagonal). The pixel size on the ground is about 6 km for an ADEOS altitude of 800 km. The rotating wheel carries filters that allow spectral measurements at 8 wavelengths (443, 490, 565, 670,763, 765, 865, and 910nm). Three of the channels (443, 670 and 865nm) measure the polarization of the incident light. Images of the same band are acquired every 19.6 s, which permits a large overlap between successive images. During the satellite overpass, a surface target is viewed up to 14 times with each time a different viewing angle. The directional configuration changes each day due to orbital shift between successive days. Therefore, after a few days, assuming favorable atmospheric conditions, the slices of measurements provide a sampling of the BRDF in the limits of the instrument field of view.

The POLDER data are processed to obtain the geocoded, calibrated, cloud screened and atmospherically-corrected land surface reflectances for each orbit. These algorithms consist of a cloud detection, a correction from the effects of absorbing gases, stratospheric and tropospheric aerosols. Details can be found at www1. Then, a semi-empirical BRDF model (Maignan et al., 2004) is inverted on the directional land surface reflectances acquired during 30-days to assess the directional-hemispherical reflectances, and the anisotropy corrected NDVI. The Leaf Area Index (LAI) and the Fraction of vegetation cover (FVC) are estimated using a neural network which inverts a radiative transfer model. All biogeophysical parameters are produced at a 10-day frequency. Details on these algorithms can be found at www2.

II.2 The global land cover data set

The co-ordination of the Global Land Cover 2000 project has been carried out under the 5th Framework Program 1999-2002 for Research of the European Commission. It is part of the project of the European Commission called Global Environment Information System (GEIS).
In contrast to former global mapping initiatives the GLC2000 project is a bottom up approach to global mapping. In this project more than 30 research teams have been involved, contributing to 19 regional windows. There were two conditions to be fulfilled by the regional experts to guarantee a certain degree of consistency. The data had to be based on SPOT-4 VEGETATION VEGA2000 dataset, which was made freely available by CNE. Secondly, the partners agreed to use the Land Cover Classification System (LCCS) which was provided by FAO (Di Gregorio and Jansen, 2000). The fact that the mapping was carried out by regional experts has a number of benefits. Firstly, since each regional expert has a high level of understanding of their particular region, a certain level of quality can be guaranteed. Secondly, each partner has the freedom to apply their own methods of mapping and define their own regional legend. This allows the partners to apply the classification techniques they find most appropriate for land cover mapping in their respective region. Thirdly, the regional mapping approach ensures that access could be gained to reference material. For more information on the partners and the production of the regional products go to the web site (www3) and consult the metadata database www4 under the topic “description”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Global Land Cover Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tree Cover, broadleaved, evergreen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tree Cover, broadleaved, deciduous, closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tree Cover, broadleaved, deciduous, open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tree Cover, needle-leaved, evergreen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tree Cover, needle-leaved, deciduous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tree Cover, mixed leaf type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Tree Cover, regularly flooded, fresh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tree Cover, regularly flooded, saline, (daily variation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mosaic: Tree cover / Other natural vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tree Cover, burnt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Shrub Cover, closed-open, evergreen (with or without sparse tree layer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Shrub Cover, closed-open, deciduous (with or without sparse tree layer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Herbaceous Cover, closed-open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Sparse Herbaceous or sparse shrub cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Regularly flooded shrub and/or herbaceous cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Cultivated and managed areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Mosaic: Cropland / Tree Cover / Other Natural Vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mosaic: Cropland / Shrub and/or Herbaceous cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Bare Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Water Bodies (natural &amp; artificial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Snow and Ice (natural &amp; artificial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Artificial surfaces and associated areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1: Global GLC2000 legend with its vegetation classes*
The regional legends are compatible with the LCCS, which describes land cover according to a hierarchical series of classifiers and attributes. These separate vegetated or non-vegetated surfaces; terrestrial or aquatic/flooded; cultivated and managed; natural and semi-natural; life-form; cover; height; spatial distribution; leaf type and phenology. Coding each class with LCCS allows a map legend to be progressively more detailed for regional, and in some cases, national level users. Due to its hierarchical structure it is possible to translate the regional classification into a more general one – the global legend (Table 1).

First, the GLC2000 classification, available at 1/120° space resolution in a regular latitude/longitude grid, has been resampled to 1/18° space resolution. Specific aggregation rules have been defined:

- The majority class on the “1/18° “area is allocated to the resulting pixel
- In case of equality between two or many classes, the class is assigned to the resulting pixel in the following priority order:
  - Water Bodies
  - Snow and Ice
  - Artificial Surfaces
  - Bare Areas
  - Mosaic: Cropland / Tree Cover / Other natural vegetation
  - Mosaic: Cropland / Shrub and / or Herbaceous cover
  - Mosaic: Tree cover / Other natural vegetation
  - Cultivated and managed areas
  - Regularly flooded shrub and /or herbaceous cover
  - Tree Cover, regularly flooded, saline
  - Tree Cover, regularly flooded, fresh
  - Sparse Herbaceous or sparse shrub cover
  - Shrub Cover, closed-open, deciduous
  - Shrub Cover, closed-open, evergreen
  - Herbaceous Cover, closed-open
  - Tree Cover, burnt
  - Tree Cover, mixed leaf type
  - Tree Cover, broadleaved, deciduous, open
  - Tree Cover, broadleaved, deciduous, closed
- Tree Cover, needled-leaved, deciduous
- Tree Cover, broadleaved, evergreen
- Tree Cover, needle-leaved, evergreen

This order choose the non-vegetated classes, first, and then, the other classes according to their “mixity level”, since most of the resulting pixels are mixed areas. That allows to insure a larger “pureness” to forest areas, which usually display the most specific BRDFs.

Then, the re-sampled map has been re-projected in the sinusoidal POLDER grid using the nearest neighbor method. At final, as the GLC_2000 classification is truncated at 56° South, the map has been filled to South pole using the IGBP classification, previously used to generate the first version of the POLDER BRDF database.

II.3. Methodology for the construction of the BRDF data set

The basic inputs for the construction of the BRDF data set is level 2 bi-directional surface reflectances at 443, 565, 670, 765 and 865nm over the 8 months of POLDER-1 acquisition. The pixels are classified according:

- The 22 biomes of the GLC2000 classification.
- The period of measurement (YYYYMM) where YYYY corresponds to the year and MM to the month of acquisition.
- The NDVI from level 3 synthesis product. We use the period of composition centered on 15th of the considered month. Values of NDVI are classified in 12 classes from −0.2 to 1 with a step equal to 0.1.
- The location of the pixel in 5 bands of latitude (90° N−50° N; 50° N−30° N; 30° N−30° S; 30° S−50° S; 50° S−90° S) (AREA)

The criteria of selection for the pixels are:

1. The number of view on the track shall be higher or equal to 10.
2. The distribution of tracks in the viewing hemisphere. This one is sampled by 8° and the tracks, characterized by the viewing zenith angle of their center, are distributed in the corresponding directional classes. A pixel shall have at least one track in 5 different angular classes during the considered month to be selected.
3. The number of clear tracks collected during the considered month shall be higher or equal to 8.

- 8 -
At the most, 10 pixels (the best according the number of directional classes and tracks) are selected for each of the 10560 (22*8*5*12) GLC-YYYYMM-AREA-NDVI classes. Then, the filtering algorithm developed in the frame of Level 3 “Land Surface” processing line for POLDER2 is applied. It allows removing the residual cloudy tracks and data perturbed by a high content of aerosols using a temporal analysis of directional observations acquired close to the perpendicular plane. We keep only pixels for which the previous criteria 2 and 3 shall remain valid after filtering. At final, 24857 pixels frame the database.

III. Files description

Directories tree reproduces the classification applied on the POLDER pixels:

- The directories are GLC_XX where XX is the biome class in the GLC2000 classification.
- The subdirectories are YYYYMM corresponding to the year (YYYY) and the month (MM) of acquisition.

The BRDF files (brdf_ndviXX.LLLL_CCCC.dat) are compound by:

- the class of NDVI, XX (01 correspond to the interval [-0.2, -0.1] and 12 to the interval [0.9, 1])
- The location of the pixel in the standard POLDER grid at full resolution (LLLL: line and CCCC: column) (see Annex 1)

The BRDF files are ASCII files presented in a columnar format. The C format used is the following: “%4d %8.3f %8.3f %8.3f %8.3f %8.3f %8.3f %8.3f %8.3f\n”. The “no data” value is NaN. The different fields are:

dd  tetas  phis  tetav  phi  r443  r565  r670  r765  r865

where:

- dd : day of the month (1-31)
- tetas : solar zenith angle (°)
- phis : solar azimuth angle (°)
- tetav : view zenith angle (°)
- phi : relative azimuth angle (°)
- r443 : surface reflectance observed at 443nm
- r565 : surface reflectance observed at 565nm
- r670 : surface reflectance observed at 670nm
r765 : surface reflectance observed at 765nm
r865 : surface reflectance observed at 865nm

**Warning:** One month corresponds to a period of synthesis, which is 429 overpass, and not to a calendar month. Then, some side effects can appear for months where the number of days are low (e.g. February).

Two additional files are provided:

- the final classification derived from re-sampled and re-projected GLC2000 map (landcover_map.bin)
- the number of 1/120° resolution pixels, belonging to the final class, and present on the 1/18° resolution pixel (nbpixel_map.bin)

These maps are presented in the POLDER full resolution grid (Annex 1). Values are coded on one byte.
IV. Analysis and discussion

The 24857 BRDFs are shared between the 22 GLC2000 classes and the 8 months following the distribution presented in the Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>199611</th>
<th>199612</th>
<th>199701</th>
<th>199702</th>
<th>199703</th>
<th>199704</th>
<th>199705</th>
<th>199706</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLC_01</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_02</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>1507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_03</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>1089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_04</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>1632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_05</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_06</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>1359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_08</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_09</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_11</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>1341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_12</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>1585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_13</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>1574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_14</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>1489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_15</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>1235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_16</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_17</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>1065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_18</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_19</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_20</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>1831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_21</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC_22</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2528</td>
<td>2522</td>
<td>2624</td>
<td>2879</td>
<td>3640</td>
<td>3391</td>
<td>3376</td>
<td>3897</td>
<td>24857</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Number of BRDF for each GLC2000 class and each month.

IV.1. Analysis of the database

Figure 1 displays the spatial distribution of the selected pixels. Very few of them are located in the equatorial region because of the dense cloud cover. In the same way, a small number of pixels are set in Western Canada, East of China and Northern Central Europe. At the opposite, Spain and the Mediterranean Basin, the West Coast of the USA, Argentina and the Northern India are well sampled.
Figure 1: Location of the pixels selected in the POLBER BRDF database.
In each GLC_XX/YYYYMM section, we can note many clumps of 3, 4 or even more neighboring pixels. Indeed, when one pixel answers the criteria of selection, it's highly likely that its neighbor does also. This clustering of BRDFs is illustrated for 5 various vegetation types and different NDVI ranges in Annex 2. Figures show that the BRDFs of each neighboring pixel are very similar. They present the same trends: a maximum of reflectance when the solar and the viewing directions coincide (the hot spot effect); a minimum of signal in the forward scattering directions; equal values of reflectance for a given waveband. Annex2_6 shows BRDFs of neighboring water pixels located on a lake. Figures display low reflectance values except in the glitter direction where the BRDF presents a sharp peak which magnitude is quite the same in all wavebands. In a general way, the BRDFs at 443nm appear quite noisy because of the great impact of the atmosphere in this spectral band. At 565nm, 670nm and 865nm, the BRDFs display regular features in spite of the natural variations of the surface during the 30 days of acquisitions. That proves the ability of POLDER sensor to accurately measure the surface anisotropy.

The database contains some pixels selected at several months. The Table 3 gives the percentage of pixels of each GLC class having at least 2 different BRDFs. This allows monitoring the temporal evolution of the surface. Annex 3 presents the multi-temporal BRDFs of 2 different vegetation types: a broadleaf evergreen forest that doesn’t change during the year (Annex3_1) and a mixed natural vegetation area that present a vegetative cycle (Annex3_2). On the first example, the NDVI class remains constant with values between [0.5, 0.6] and the reflectances stay quite stable. The major changes in the BRDFs are due to the variations of the sun angle. The second example shows BRDFs from March to June for a pixel located in New Mexico. The variations of the spectral reflectances are clearly displayed, what explains the evolution of the NDVI with a maximum in June with a low visible reflectance. Note a very sharp hotspot in April.

Because most of the POLDER pixels are mixed areas, and on account of aggregation rules for classification re-sampling, some inconsistencies can appear between the class name and the BRDF aspect or the NDVI value. In these cases, the companion file containing the number of “1/112°” resolution pixels belonging to the final class and present on a POLDER pixel, can help to have an idea of the pureness level of the pixel. For example, Annex4_1 shows a pixel classified in “Water Bodies”, which should display low reflectances. Actually, it displays a vegetative cycle with NDVI variations from 0.7 to 0.3 between December and May, and BRDF features characterizing a vegetation area. The nbpixel_map.bin file indicates that less than 50% of the original 1/112° resolution pixels inside this POLDER pixel belong to the “Water Bodies” class. The presence of water is translated by a high reflectance in the glitter direction, which is, however, lower than the reflectance in the hot spot direction. A second example is presented in Annex4_2. These neighboring pixels
located in the Brazilian sertao are classified in “Bare Areas”, but the NDVI values are high in the range [0.6, 0.7] and the BRDFs display a sharp hot spot peak, which characterizes the vegetation. The nbpixel_map.bin file indicates that about 40% of the “1/112°” resolution pixels inside these POLDER pixels belong to the “Bare Areas” class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GLC 2000 Class</th>
<th>% of pixels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All classes</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 3: Percentage of pixels with at least 2 different BRDFs in the database.*

**IV.2. Some potential uses of the database**

The BRDFs of the database provide detailed information about the angular properties of the land surface ecosystems. Measurements acquired in the principal plane, where the BRDFs display its sharpest features, show the great potential of these data. As examples, figure 2 presents spectral directional signatures of 4 various ecosystems at different time periods. The graphs show that reflectances at
565nm are higher than reflectances at 670nm for vegetation (Evergreen needleleaf forest, Evergreen broadleaf forest, and Herbaceous cover). When the influence of soil background dominates the signal, the reflectance at 670nm is larger than the reflectance at 565nm (Cultivated and Managed areas). The main pattern of the BRDF is the peak of reflectance in the hot spot direction. Its width and magnitude vary according to the ecosystems. This BRDF feature can be very useful to retrieve structural parameters of the vegetation or to quantify the spatial distribution of the major elements of the landscape. Such approaches have already been investigated by Lacaze et al. (2002), Chen et al. (2003), and Leblanc et al. (2005).

Above all, the POLDER BRDF database is a unique and essential tool for testing the abilities of radiative transfer models to simulate the directional properties of the surface with the aim, for instance, to correct the bi-directional effects. Such application can be achieved with the linear reflectance model of Maignan et al. (2004), which is used in the advanced algorithm of “Land Surface” processing line to normalize the POLDER data (www2). Annex 5 presents examples, one for each GLC2000 class, of the measured BRDF, the simulated one after inversion of the Maignan model, and a scatter-plot comparing the both for 3 wavebands. The quality of the inversion depends on the ecosystem and its specificities. The model reproduces the sharp peak of reflectance of the hot spot phenomenon very well (e.g. Annex5_2, Annex5_4, Annex5_5, or Annex5_6) because of the hotspot module (Bréon et al., 2002) merged with the Ross_thick kernel. The model cannot simulate the glitter effect when some water is on the surface (Annex5_7, Annex5_8, Annex5_20). The model is well adapted to simulate the directional reflectances of discontinuous landscapes (e.g. Annex5_11, Annex5_12, Annex5_13 or Annex5_22). The best results of the inversion are obtained in the near infrared channel where the multiple scattering smoothes the directional features of the BRDF.

V. Conclusion

This database is an exceptional collection of bi-directional reflectances measured from space, providing exclusive information about the anisotropy of the continental ecosystems. What makes it an incomparable tool for many environmental studies. The characterization of ecosystems by a BRDF is improved using the GLC2000 land cover map, although the most of POLDER pixels are mixed areas.

Another database will be generated soon with the ADEOS-2/POLDER-2 BRDFs.
Figure 2: Directional signatures measured by POLDER in the principal plane:

1) Evergreen Needleleaf Forest (199706), 2) Evergreen Broadleaf Forest (199701), 3) Herbaceous cover (199702), 4) Cultivated and Managed areas (199706).
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ANNEX 1: POLDER full resolution reference grid
The POLDER reference grid is based on the sinusoidal equal area projection (Sanson-Flamsted). The step is constant along a meridian with a resolution of $1/18^\circ$ degrees. Thus, there are $180 \times 18 = 3240$ lines from pole to pole. Along a parallel, the step is chosen in order to have a resolution as constant as possible. The number of pixel from 180 W to 180 E is chosen equal to $2 \times \text{NINT}[3240 \cos(\text{latitude})]$ where NINT stands for nearest integer.

Note that, in the real world, the coordinates of the neighbors of a given pixel $(\text{lin}, \text{col})$ are not necessarily given by $(\text{lin} \pm 1, \text{col} \pm 1)$. It is necessary to account for the deformation of the projection with the longitude.

The following equations yield the latitude and longitude of a pixel given by its $(\text{lin}, \text{col})$ coordinates in the POLDER reference grid:

$$lat = 90 - \frac{\text{lin} - 0.5}{18}$$

$$N_i = \text{NINT}[3240 \cos(lat)]$$

$$lon = \frac{180}{N_i} (\text{col} - 3240.5)$$

lin is 1 to 3240 from top to bottom

col is 1 to 6480 from left to right
The following equations yield the \((lin, col)\) coordinates in the POLDER reference grid for a pixel of given latitude and longitude:

\[
\begin{align*}
lin &= \text{NINT}[18(90 - \text{lat}) + 0.5] \\
N_i &= \text{NINT}[3240 \sin \left( \frac{lin - 0.5}{18} \right)] \\
\text{col} &= \text{NINT}[3240.5 + \frac{N_i}{180} \text{lon}] 
\end{align*}
\]

Note that, in the equation above, it is assumed \(-180 \leq \text{lon} < 180\)

This POLDER reference grid is centered on the Greenwich meridian. For the extraction and visualization of POLDER data close to the 180° longitude line, it may be easier to work with a similar grid centered on this meridian. A simple formula allows switching from one \((lin, col)\) coordinate system to the other \((lin', col')\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{lin}' &= \text{lin} \\
N_i &= \text{NINT}[3240 \sin \left( \frac{\text{lin} - 0.5}{18} \right)] \\
\text{col}' &= 3241 - N_i + \text{MOD}_{2N_i}(\text{col} + 2N_i - 3241)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\text{MOD}_{2N_i}\) returns the remainder of the integer division by \(2N_i\).
ANNEX 2: Examples of BRDFs of neighboring pixels
(Reflectances are expressed in %)
Annex 2.1: BRDFs of 4 neighboring pixels of needle leaf evergreen forest located in California.
Annex 2: BRDFs of 4 neighboring pixels of broadleaf evergreen forest located in Burma.
Annex 2.3: BRDFs of 4 neighboring pixels of evergreen shrubland located in China.
Annex 2.4: BRDFs of 4 neighboring pixels of deciduous shrubland located in Argentina.
Annex 2.5: BRDFs of 4 neighboring pixels of herbaceous cover located in Chile.
Annex 2.6: BRDFs of 4 neighboring pixels of water located in Lake Ladoga (Russia).
ANNEX 3: Examples of multi-temporal BRDFs
(Reflectances are expressed in %)
Annex 3.1: Multi-temporal BRDFs for a broadleaf evergreen forest located in Patagonia.
Annex 3.2: Multi-temporal BRDFs for a mosaic area located in New Mexico.
ANNEX 4: BRDFs of mixed pixels.
(Reflectances are expressed in %)
Annex 4.1: Multi-temporal BRDFs of a mixed pixel (vegetation + water) located in India.
Annex 4.2: BRDFs of neighboring pixels classified as "Bare Areas" pixel located in Brazil.
ANNEX 5: Examples of measured and simulated BRDFs
(All reflectances are expressed in %)
Annex 5.1: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center) and comparison of the both (at right) for an Evergreen Broadleaf Forest pixel located in Thailand.
Annex 5.2: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a Deciduous Broadleaf Closed Forest located in Brazil.
Annex 5.3: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a Deciduous Broadleaf Open Forest located in Brazil.
Annex 5.4: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center) and comparison of the both (at right) for an Evergreen Needleleaf Forest located in Spain.
Annex 5.5: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a Deciduous Needleleaf Forest located in Siberia.
Annex 5.6: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a Mixed leaf forest located in Mexico.
Annex 5.7: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a regularly flooded (fresh) forest located in Brazil.
Annex5_8: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a regularly flooded (saline) forest located in Senegal.
Annex 5.9: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a mosaic pixel (tree + other natural vegetation) located in Uganda.
Annex 5.10: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a burnt tree area located in Mongolia.
Annex 5.11: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for Evergreen Shrubland located in Arizona.
Annex 5.12: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a Deciduous Shrubland located in Australia.
Annex 5_13: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a grassland located in Italy.
Annex5_14: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a sparse grassland and shrubland located in South Africa.
Annex5.15: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for regularly flooded shrubland located in Australia.
Annex5_16: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a cropland located in Chile.
Annex 5.17: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a mosaic area (crop + tree + other natural vegetation) located in Chile.
Annex 5.18: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a mosaic area (crop + shrub + grass) located in Nigeria.
Annex5_19: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a bare area located in the Atacama.
Annex 5_20: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a water body located in Lake Ladoga close to St Petersburg.
Annex5_21: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for a snow and ice area located in Antarctica.
Annex 5_22: Measured BRDF (at left), simulated BRDF by the Maignan model (at center), and comparison of the both (at right) for an Artificial surface located at Ryad.