Modelling snow cover duration improves predictions of taxonomic and functional diversity of plant communities



Brad Carlson, Julien Renaud, Jean-Pierre Dedieu, Wilfried Thuiller & Philippe Choler

Remote Sensing of Biodiversity Workshop – Grenoble, France November 24-25, 2014









Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2005, pp. 444-453



Important taxonomic and functional variation relative to snow cover gradients

#### **Introduction:** context

Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2005, pp. 444-453



Important taxonomic and functional variation relative to snow cover gradients

## **Introduction:** Study aims

#### Main question:

• What are the statistical and ecological implications of accounting for snow cover duration for predicting patterns of alpine plant community diversity?



#### Introduction: Study aims

#### **Main question:**

• What are the statistical and ecological implications of accounting for snow cover duration for predicting patterns of alpine plant community diversity?

#### **Remote sensing applications:**

- **LiDAR DEM** resampled to 2m to quantify topographic variation (Elevation, Aspect, Slope and Topographic position index TPI)
- **Landsat imagery** from 2000, 2001, 2002, 2013 & 2014 between March and mid-August classified into binary snow cover maps at 15 m resolution
- **Hyperspectral imagery** acquired in 2008 used to estimate leaf chlorophyll content (proxy for photosynthetic activity and leaf nitrogen content)





#### Methods: Snowmelt modelling



**Generalized Additive Models (GAMs)** fit for all five Landsat years and projected at a daily time step

 $\rightarrow$  Snow (0/1) ~ Date + Topography

# **Methods:** Snow modelling – Validation (SPOT 4 TAKE 5)



#### **Methods:** Snow modelling – Validation (SPOT 4 TAKE 5)



- (A) Observed (black) and predicted (grey) snow cover area for 2013 Landsat and SPOT acquisition dates. MAE = mean absolute error; triangles correspond to SPOT 4 imagery, while circles correspond to Landsat 8.
- (A) Observed and predicted snow cover area estimates for the nine image acquisition dates.
- (B) Agreement, estimated by the True Skill Statistic (TSS), between observed and predicted snow cover area maps.
- (A) Proportion of observed snowcovered pixels detected by the GAM model, as measured by Sensitivity. The dashed line in panels A, C and D corresponds with July 15.

# **Methods:** Combining snow cover and energy gradients



### Methods: study area and vegetation data



→ For each plot: <u>Species Richness</u>, <u>Betadiversity</u> (NMDS ordination), Community Weighted Mean <u>Specific Leaf Area (SLA)</u>, <u>Leaf Chlorophyll Content & Functional Diversity</u>



→ Important variation in GSL introduced when snow cover duration is taken into account



- → Turnover in species composition closely follows a snowmelt gradient
- → Environment/diversity relationship is compressed when only elevation is considered



- $\rightarrow$  Similar pattern is observed for species richness
- → In addition to R<sup>2</sup>, important to consider slope and intercept model parameters





→ Snowbed communities characterized by high SLA and a short growing season are differentiated when snow cover is included



- → The slope direction changes to an intuitive relationship when snow cover duration is included
- $\rightarrow$  (!) Important to look at not only R<sup>2</sup>



- → Functional convergence around optimal trait values is captured when solar radiation is filtered by snow cover
- → Validation of the Stress-Gradient Hypothesis (Bertness and Callaway 1994)

#### Main findings:

- → Quantifying an old idea: Snow is important in alpine systems!
- → Focus on developing ecologically meaningful predictors, rather than selecting variables on a statistical basis only
- → Efforts to predict the response of alpine plant communities to climate change need to consider future shifts in both temperature and snow regimes

#### Main findings:

- → Quantifying an old idea: Snow is important in alpine systems!
- → Focus on developing ecologically meaningful predictors, rather than selecting variables on a statistical basis only
- → Efforts to predict the response of alpine plant communities to climate change need to consider future shifts in both temperature and snow regimes

#### Next steps and on going questions (in my PhD):

- → Estimate snow cover-mediated growing season length at the regional scale, maintaining high spatial resolution
  - Process-based snow distribution model *vs.* Empirical distribution modelling approach
- → Test response of ecosystem productivity (NDVI) : Mont Blanc massif with M. CORONA LOZADA
- $\rightarrow$  SPOT 5 TAKE 5 project in collaboration with the CBNA and the IRSTEA
  - Examine alpine plant community structure at the scale of the interior French Alps (Mercantour → Mont Blanc)

#### Thank you for your attention. Questions?

European Research Council

# ZAN Zone Atelier

erc







